ADMIN-SE

From: jean public [jeanpublic@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 19, 2004 11:15 AM

To: ADMIN-S&E

Cc: rodney.frelinghuysen@mail.house.gov

Subject: public comment on federal register of 6/l4/04 vol 69 no II3 page 33066

us dhhs epp directive

first, let me complain that there is no real e mail address given for these comments. i wonder why this dept. is so behind the times on 2004 means of communication so as to be operating in the mode of 1945 with snail mail, fax or hand delivery.

comment on page 2 - i think the border security is doing a lousy job. i think the bureau of citizenship is doing a lousy job.

in 3rd paragraph, after the word Integrated, take out "integrated and instead place "appreciated and promulgated" into that place. we want environmental standards used at all times.

comment on page 3 - i went to the site listed as http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editor, but the site was not listed properly in the federal register and it certainly did not even work. why list sites as places to look for information when they DO NOT WORK AT ALL.

I BELIEVE SINCE THIS SITE DOES NOT WORK THIS PROPOSAL SHOULD BE REISSUED FOR ANOTHER 120 DAYS.

comment on page 4 - I hope that the "supplements" issued here do not take anything away from NEPA. I note that the council seems to be issuing politicized regulations these days that attempt to scuttle all the environmental laws we have worked so hard for so many years to put in place here in america. Our present administration seems to want to log our forests, mine ever square inch of america, etc--all for their own enrichment - because certainly america will be poorer for these actions.

comment on page 5 - I think number 4 should be switched to be no. 1 in the list. Somehow I get the feeling from reading this document that this proposal may be an attempt to ignore environmental safeguards.

in last paragraph above no. 1 add the word "very" before important in the first line.

comment on page 8 - I oppose and object to this document which seems to downgrade the importance of the environment.

comment on page 15 - 2.1 add the word after "communities" in the third line and "people"

comment on page 17 - i wonder why private environmental groups such as Sierra Club, Greenpeace, Fund for animals, humane society and all those who are known to favor saving trees and saving animals/wildlife are not included in these deliberations. They seem at all times to be purposely

left out. I think that must change. They must be invited to the table and given an important role for the important role they play in america.

comment on page 34 - no planned burning must take place at any location in the u.s. since such burning releases air particulates which negatively impact human health and cause lung cancer, asthma, heart attacks and genetic malformations. it is time to stop this burning.

comment on page 38 - E - in national areas, not only "LOCAL" people care about these areas, and in every case all local environmental groups must be contacted such as sierra club, humane society, fund for animals, greenpeace, people for ethical treatment of animals, etc. never consider a nationally paid for area as "local"

i am disturbed by this agency seeking to become despotic in regard to all genuine american citizens and worry that any rules that emanate from this agency will seek to take away all rights from those same genuine american citizens.

b. sachau
15 elm st
florham park nj 07932

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - You care about security So do we. http://promotions.yahoo.com/new mail